UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,250	09/15/2005	Udo Gortz	915-006.062	2965
	7590	8 YS & ADOLPHSON, LLP	EXAMINER	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/517,250	GORTZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David Q. Nguyen	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 c</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 100 ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-85 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,16 and 30-84 is/a 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-2,7,9-12,14-15,17-20,22-29 and 8a 7)  Claim(s) 3-6 and 21 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	are withdrawn from consideration. <u>5</u> is/are rejected.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/517,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-12, 14-15, 17-29 and 85 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 21 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

"wherein generated content" in claim 21 should be changed to —wherein said digital picture/video data---.

"said changed digital content" should be changed to --- said changed digital picture/video data---

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7,9-10-12, 14, 17,19, 22,24,26-29 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo (US 2002/0113872 A1) in view of Thomas (US 6,781,623 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 19, 29 and 85, Kinjo discloses an electronic device, apparatus, computer readable storage medium having computer-executable components and a method comprising a processor configured to edit and change said stored digital picture/video data (see par. 0029; adding a message to the taken picture), a component configured to obtain data

provided from a sensor, said processor is configured to generate and/or process said digital picture/video data according to said obtained sensor data (see par. 0029 and 0035). Kinjo does not mention the device is a mobile electronic device and a storage device configured to store digital picture/video data. However, Thomas discloses a mobile electronic device comprising a camera (see abstract), a storage device configured to store digital picture/video data is well known in the art as Thomas discloses a storage device configured to store digital picture/video data (see fig. 6, col. 5, lines 15-24, memory 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Thomas to Kinjo in order to save all taken pictures.

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, the device of Kinjo in view of Thomas also comprises a communication device (see fig. 5 and fig. 9 of Thomas).

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Kinjo also discloses wherein said storage device is configured to store digital video/picture data having digital audio data (see par. 0045) and digital text data (see par. 0045).

Regarding claim 10, Kinjo also discloses said processor configured to evaluate said obtained sensor data (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 11, Kinjo also discloses wherein said component to obtain sensor data comprises a receiver (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 12, Kinjo also discloses wherein said component to obtain sensor data comprises at least one sensor built-in in said electronic device (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 14, Kinjo also discloses e wherein said sensor is a position sensor (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 17, Kinjo also discloses said processor being configured to change device settings according to said obtained sensor data (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 22, Kinjo also discloses evaluating said data obtained from said sensor, and wherein said generating/processing of said digital picture/video data is done in accordance with a result of said evaluation operation (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 24, Kinjo also discloses transmitting of said changed digital content (see par. 0029 and 0035).

Regarding claim 26, Kinjo also discloses manually editing said digital content by user input (see par.0045).

Regarding claim 27, Kinjo also discloses a software tool comprising program code means for carrying out the steps of claim 19 when said program is run on a computer device or a mobile electronic device (see explanation in claim 19).

Regarding claim 28, Kinjo also discloses a computer program comprising program code means for carrying out the method of claim 19 when said program is run on a mobile computer or network device (see explanation in claim 19).

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo (US 2002/0113872 A1) in view of Thomas (US 6,781,623 B1) and further in view of Rhoads et al. (US 2005/0213790 A1).

Regarding claim 15, the device of Kinjo in view of Thomas does not comprise wherein said sensor is an optical sensor. However, Rhoads discloses a mobile phone comprising an optical sensor (see abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Rhoads et al. to the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,250 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

device of Kinjo in view of Thomas in order to provide appropriate service to user based on detecting a change in an environment such as transmission quality.

5. Claims 18, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo (US 2002/0113872 A1) in view of Thomas (US 6,781,623 B1) and further in view of Valentine (US 2004/0208297 A1).

Regarding claim 18, Kinjo in view of Thomas do not mention a user interface, for providing a user interface for manually overriding said generating/processing. However, Valentine also discloses a user interface, for providing a user interface for manually overriding said generating/processing (see fig. 5 and par. 0015 and 0053). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Valentine to the device of Kinjo in view of Thomas in order to delete undesired pictures and save new pictures.

Regarding claims 20 and 25, Kinjo in view of Thomas do not mention receiving a communication request; changing of device settings in accordance with said sensor data. However, Valentine also discloses receiving a communication request (see par. 0011); changing of device settings in accordance with said sensor data (see par. 0013-0015). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Valentine to the device of Kinjo in view of Thomas in order to assure a sufficiently accurate decision.

6. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinjo (US 2002/0113872 A1) in view of Thomas (US 6,781,623 B1) and further in view of Umeda et al. (US 2002/0150228 A1).

Regarding claim 23, Kinjo in view of Thomas do not mention receiving sensor data from an external sensor. However, Umeda et al disclose receiving sensor data from an external sensor (see pars. 0006-0010 and 0084). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Umeda to the mobile station of Kinjo in view of Thomas in order to provide appropriate service to user based on detecting a change in an environment such as transmission quality.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-6 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The above references do not disclose wherein said digital picture/video data to be edited comprises an announcement message contained in a mailbox of said mobile electronic device as specified in the claims.

Regarding claim 21, the above references do not disclose wherein generated digital content is stored as an announcement file of a mailbox, as specified in the claim.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bost Dwayne can be reached on (571)272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,250 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David Q Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617 Application/Control Number: 10/517,250

Page 8

Art Unit: 2617